1 2 3 4 5 6	MAKAREM & ASSOCIATES, APLC Ronald W. Makarem, Esq. (State Bar No. 180442) Gene F. Williams, Esq. (State Bar No. 211390) Jean-Paul Le Clercq, Esq., (State Bar No. 248818) 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2440 Los Angeles, California 90025-1760 Phone: (310) 312-0299; Fax: (310) 312-0296 Attorneys for Plaintiff Todd Powell, as a Private A General Act Representative of similarly aggrieved in the State of California	Attorney	SUPERIOR COURT, METROPOLITAN DIVISION COUNTY OF KERN JAN 3 1 2018 TERRY MCVALLY, CLERK BY DEPUTY
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN		
10	TODD POWELL, individually, and as a Private	CASE NO_B	CV-15-101202
11	Attorney General Act Representative of similarly aggrieved individuals in the State of	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
13	California		
14	Plaintiff,		
15	vs.	Date:	January 31, 2018
16	BADGER DAYLIGHTING CORP., an Indiana Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.	Time: Dept.:	8:30 a.m.
17	Defendants.		
18	Dottingins.	J	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
27			
28			

This matter came on for hearing on January 31, 2018, in Department 11 of the above-captioned Court on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having fully reviewed and considered the moving papers, and having analyzed the Class Action Settlement between Plaintiff and Defendant Badger Daylighting Corp. (the "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Gene Williams,

THIS COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDERS:

- 1. This Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed Settlement Agreement as being fair, reasonable, and adequate.
- 2. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as those set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Class Notice Packet, attached as Exhibits A-C to the Settlement Agreement, is hereby approved.
- 4. The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice in the manner set forth herein substantially meets the requirements of California law and due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 5. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the following class is hereby provisionally certified pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 as follows:
 all former and current Operators and Swampers employed by Badger

anywhere in the State of California during the Class Period (the period from June 9, 2013 through the date the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement), including the following subclasses:

- a. <u>Former Employees/NorCal</u>: Class Members formerly employed by Badger in Northern California (including Badger's locations in Sacramento, San Jose, Martinez, and Fresno);
- b. Former Employees/SoCal: Class Members formerly employed by Badger in Southern California (including Badger's locations in Bakersfield, Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Diego);

- c. <u>Current Employees/NorCal</u>: Class Members currently employed by Badger in Northern California; and
- d. <u>Current Employees/SoCal</u>: Class Members currently employed by Badger in Southern California.
- Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily
 approve Ronald W. Makarem and Gene Williams of the Law Offices of Makarem & Associates, APLC,
 as Class Counsel.
- 7. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Todd Powell as Class Representative.
- 8. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve of and appoint CPT Group, Inc., as the Parties' Settlement Administrator.
- 9. A final fairness hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be set on 5/16/16, 2018, in Department 11 of this Court, the review the notice process and objections, if any, and to determine whether the proposed settlement on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be approved by the Court; whether the Judgment as provided in the Settlement Agreement should be entered into; and to determine the amount of attorneys' fees and costs that should be awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of the Class Representative Service Award that should be awarded to the Representative Plaintiff.
- 10. The Settlement Administrator shall supervise and administer the notice procedure as follows:
 - a. Within ten (10) business days of this Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant shall provide to the Settlement Administrator, in a readable, ready to use electronic spreadsheet format, a list containing the names and last known contact information for all Settlement Class members, including last known address and telephone number, as well as which of the four subclasses identified above each Class Member is a member of, and the total number of weeks that each Settlement Class Member worked for Defendant during the Class Period.

- b. The Settlement Administrator shall run skip-trace checks of the Class Member's addresses against those on file with the U.S. Postal Service's National Change of Address List.
- c. Within ten (10) calendar days of receiving the Class Member contact information from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator shall send the Class Notice Packet to each Class Member by First-Class mail.
- d. There will be a forty-five (45) calendar day period from the date the Class Notice

 Packets are first mailed during which Class Members may opt-out of or object to the

 Settlement.
- e. Class Notice Packets returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered within thirty (30) calendar days of the initial mailing shall be further skip-traced, and to the extent possible, resent within ten (10) calendar days to updated addresses. Any Class Notice Packet that is not returned as non-delivered within sixty (60) calendar days of the mailing of the Class Notice Packet shall be presumed to have been received by the Class Member.
- f. At the time the parties file their motion for final approval of the settlement, Class Counsel shall provide the Court with a declaration by the Settlement Administrator, specifying the due diligence it undertook with regard to the mailing of the Class Notice Packet; verifying its settlement administration costs; and reporting on the number of opt-outs, objections, disputed, and average Individual Settlement Payment amounts.
- 11. Any Class Member who wishes to be excluded (opt-out) from the Settlement Class and not participate in the proposed settlement must execute a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator within forty-five (45) calendar days, as set forth in the Request for Exclusion Form included in the Class Notice Packet. To be timely, the request for exclusion must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked by the forty-five (45) calendar day deadline. Any Class Member who opts out of the Settlement will be ineligible to receive a settlement payment, but will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or the release of claims contained therein.

I

- 12. Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may do so by filling out and filing the Objection Form included in the Class Notice Packet to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the date on which the Class Notice Packet was initially mailed to Class Members. Any Class Member may submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement objecting to the Settlement that sets forth the grounds for the objection. Any Class Member may appear at the Final Approval Hearing, with or without prior notice, and show cause, if he or she has any, why the proposed Settlement should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, why attorneys' fees and costs should or should not be awarded to Class Counsel as requested, or why the Class Representative Service Award should or should not be awarded as requested. Class Members who validly opt out of the Settlement may not also object to the Settlement.
- 13. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court shall finally determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable, and also rule on Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs, and for a Class Representative Service Award.
- 14. The Court's preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement is not to be deemed an admission of liability or fault by Defendant, or a finding as to the validity of any claims or defenses asserted in the action.
- 15. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class Members, and it will retain jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ________, 2018

Honorable David R. Lampe Judge of the Superior Court